The Endangered Species Act: Making an Effective Law Even Better

Sonja Jahrsdoerfer
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Regional Endangered Species Coordinator

The Endangered Species Act in Alaska

As the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service works in partnership with others, our two major goals under the ESA are to:

- 1) Protect and recover endangered and threatened species and their habitats; and
- 2) Conserve candidate species and species-at-risk so that listing under the ESA is not necessary.



The Endangered Species Act in Alaska

Purpose of the ESA (section 2): "... to provide a means whereby the ecosystems upon which endangered species and threatened species depend may be conserved..."



Cooperative Conservation under the ESA

Goals for implementing the ESA in Alaska:

- Enhance cooperation with partners
- Work with stakeholders to implement the ESA fairly
- Ensure clear and consistent policies and implementation
- Base decisions on sound science
- Reduce the frequency and intensity of conflicts



FWS/NMFS Jurisdiction

- FWS manages land and freshwater species, some marine mammals (sea otter, polar bear, walrus)
- NMFS manages other marine species (sea lions, seals, whales)





FWS Listed Species in Alaska

Endangered species:

- > Aleutian shield fern
- Eskimo curlew
- Short-tailed albatross



Threatened species:

- Northern sea otter (SW Alaska popn)
- > Polar bear
- Spectacled eider
- > Steller's eider
- Wood bison

FWS Candidate Species in Alaska

FWS candidate: We have enough information to propose the species for listing, but there are other species of higher priority.

Kittlitz's murrelet decision due Sept. 2013



Yellow-billed loon decision due Sept. 2014



Pacific walrus decision due Sept. 2017





We received a petition to list the Prince of Wales flying squirrel under the ESA in 2011

- We made a "not substantial" finding in August 2012
- Tongass LRMP conservation strategy should provide:
- sufficient suitable habitat for population viability
- sufficient connectivity between and among forest reserves and habitat fragments

ESA Petitions

- We received a petition to list the Alexander Archipelago wolf (in SE Alaska) under the ESA in 2011
- We are preparing a "90-day finding" for this species to determine whether we should conduct a more in-depth review



Endangered Species Successes in Alaska

Recovered species:

- Aleutian Canada goose (listed 1967; recovered 2001)
- Arctic peregrine falcon (listed 1970; recovered 1994)
- American peregrine falcon (listed 1970; recovered 1999)

Recovery takes time, but it works!

We have worked with the oil & gas industry for decades to protect bears during oil and gas operations in Alaska

We integrated ESA & MMPA

requirements



- MMPA Incidental Take Regulations
 - cover non-lethal take associated with oil
 & gas activities for polar bear and walrus
 - MMPA authorization also covers ESA
 - No change in conservation requirements
 - No change in timing to receive

authorization



Issue:

- Short-tailed albatross were caught on longline fishing hooks and drowned
- NMFS & FWS funded studies to evaluate seabird avoidance measures



- ➤ In partnership with the commercial fishing industry, we developed paired streamer (tori) lines that reduced bycatch by 90-100%
- We collaborate with partners to supply free streamer line kits to Alaskan commercial longline vessel owners/operators





- The Queen Charlotte goshawk was listed in Canada in August 2012
- We did not list the goshawk in Alaska because adequate habitat to conserve it is available
- We work closely with the U.S. Forest Service and timber industry to integrate species' and habitat protection with timber availability needs

ESA Section 7 Consultation

- Our consultation process is flexible and responsive
- Since 2002, we have completed 5,750 consultations
- ~98% of these are resolved through the informal consultation process
- We have not stopped a single project or required major modifications to a proposed action

Proactive Uses of the Section 7 Consultation Process

- We coordinate with project proponents to minimize adverse effects to species, while allowing projects to proceed
- Emergency fuel delivery to Nome (Jan. 2012) included FWS involvement to help the vessels avoid important areas used by threatened spectacled eiders





Improving ESA Implementation

Improving ESA Implementation

Goals:

- Engage the States, Tribes, conservation organizations, industry, and private landowners as more effective conservation partners
- Encourage greater creativity in the implementation of the ESA

Improving ESA Implementation

Goals:

- Improve the clarity and consistency of regulations
- Eliminate unnecessary procedural requirements
- Reduce the frequency and intensity of conflicts as much as possible

Working Cooperatively with States

- July 2011 memo from FWS Director recognized "special and unique relationship" between FWS & States
- Emphasized the importance of providing opportunities for States to engage more often and more effectively in ESA activities



Working Cooperatively with States

- Meeting held Feb. 2012 between FWS and ADF&G leadership
- FWS coordination efforts with ADF&G have increased substantially
- We have adjusted our decision and document review processes to facilitate ADF&G involvement

Wood bison



- The Service has supported ADF&G's proposal to reintroduce wood bison into Alaska through funding, permits, and technical assistance
- ADF&G would have management authority of any reintroduced wood bison

Cooperative Recovery Initiative

- New national, cross-program initiative
- Support focused, large-scale conservation efforts
- Leverage resources toward highest priority needs

Implement projects with highest likelihood of success

Conservation Integration

> New branch in HQ



- Will use more information technology
- Create more integration, efficiencies and streamlining across the different ESA program areas
- Seek to improve conservation outcomes, work more efficiently and cost-effectively, and better serve stakeholders

Critical Habitat – Economic Analyses

- Proposed regulations to require release of preliminary estimates of the economic impacts when critical habitat is proposed for public review and comment
- Would allow FWS to refine critical habitat proposals earlier in the process
- Would provide the public with additional information to help them understand and comment on those proposals

Critical Habitat – Textual Description

- Lengthy textual descriptions not required in Federal Register rules
- > FWS will use maps or web sites to illustrate critical habitat boundaries
- Process of proposing or changing the boundaries will be more efficient, less complex for landowners and the general public, and less expensive for the taxpayer

Petition Findings

- Signature authority for "substantial" findings delegated to Regional Directors (11/9/12)
- Summary of findings published quarterly in the Federal Register
- Will expedite processing



Pending ESA Policies

- Stay tuned for future policy initiatives:
 - Significant portion of the range
 - Draft regulations for critical habitat designation process and definition of adverse modification
 - Petition regulations



Take Home Messages

- > The ESA is working well in Alaska
- The ESA provides a critical safety net for America's native fish, wildlife and plants
- The health of threatened and endangered species & their habitats is strongly linked to our own well-being (clean air and water, subsistence, recreational opportunities)

Next Steps

- We want to work with our conservation partners to make the ESA even more effective
- We are also committed to working with partners to make ESA implementation less complex and less contentious

