ASAP

Alaska Stand Alone Gas Pipeline

ASAP Project Update

January 17, 2013

Who? AGDC and What? ASAP

April 2010: HB 369 mandated that **A**laska **H**ousing **F**inance **C**orporation (**AHFC**) facilitate development of a plan for an in-state pipeline *project*.

July 2010: AHFC established the Alaska Gasline Development Corporation (AGDC) as a subsidiary corporation to take over *project* planning and execution.

ASAP is that *project:* the Alaska Stand Alone Pipeline. Also known as the in-state pipeline.





ASAP Progress Up-date

- 604 miles of State Right-of-Way lease; includes Fairbanks lateral
- Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) completed November 2012
- FEIS Record of Decision expected January 2013
- 100 miles of federal Right-of-Way expected
- AGDC team optimized the project plan to Lean Gas
- Up-dated capital costs and tariff models
- Contracted a facility design firm
- Identified enabling legislation required to move ASAP forward





2011 Plan vs. Optimized Project Plan



Optimized Project Plan Benefits

| Issues | Optimized Project Plan (Lean Gas) | July 2011 Project Plan |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| Customers | Easier and less expensive connections More off-take points More potential customers and greater access Deliver natural gas to Alaskans by 2019 | Costly connections Fewer off-take points for Alaskans |
| EIS/Permits | Supplemental environmental document required with minimal impact to schedule Smaller footprint and reduced carbon impacts | Risk of carbon tax More permits; greater complexity/impact FEIS complete (November 2012) |
| Complexity | Less risk — One facility (GCF) with standard pressure & equipment Design process less costly Propane extraction still available for in-state demand | 5 + facilities with high pressure pipeline and specialized materials and equipment required |
| Tariff | Lower tariff | Higher tariff |
| Cost | \$7.7B (+/- 30%) in \$2012 Lower construction risk Lower O&M costs | \$7.5B (+/- 30%) in \$2011 (\$7.7B in @2012) Higher construction risk Higher O&M costs |
| Political / External | Improved economics for Interior users Increased customer base with ease of connections Requires enabling legislation to more effectively and efficiently advance the project and schedule NOT viewed as competition to AGIA | Petrochemical plant ambitions Lack of market for by-products Efficiencies not realized NOT viewed as competition to AGIA |

Stage Gate Approach

Front-End Development Progressively Narrows Uncertainty of Cost and Schedule



TIME

*Refers to AACE cost estimate classes (Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering). The lower the class number, the higher the confidence in the accuracy of the estimate.

ASAP



ASAP Optimized Project Schedule



ASAP

Rev. 9/24/12



Optimized Project Tariff Update

- Longer term: 30-year levelized vs. original 20-year
- Updated capital cost estimates with more appropriate contingency
 - ✓ Pipeline now 10% vs. 5% (facilities 30%)
- Equity share and return on equity adjusted
 - ✓ Debt/equity split now 75/25 vs. 70/30
 - ✓ ROE 11% vs. 12%
- Year delay (\$2011 -> \$2012)
- 2.5% inflation per year





Tariff Assumptions Comparisons

| | Alaska Gasline Tariffs - Key Assumptions | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|---|
| | APP 2010 | Denali 2010 | ASAP July 2011 | ASAP 2012 |
| Levelized term | 20-35 yr (80% over 25 yrs) | 20 yrs min (80% of capex) | 20 years | 30 years |
| Debt/Equity (Long term) | 75/25 | 75/25 | 70/30 | 75/25 |
| Debt/Equity (During Construction) | 70/30* (For later capex or expansion) | 70/30 | 70/30 | 75/25 |
| Return on equity | 12% | 12-14% | 12% | 11% |
| Cost of debt | 5.10% | 5.10% | 5.70% | 5.70% (3.75% during construction) |
| Compounding | | | Semi-annual | Annual |
| State Contribution | - | - | \$400 MM | \$400 MM |
| Depreciation | 25 years | 25 years | 20 years | 30 years |
| Inflation | - | - | 3% | 2.50% |
| Property taxes | - | - | 2% of total capex (flat) | 2% of total capex (flat) |
| Operating costs | - | - | 2% | 2% |
| Pipe | 48" | 48" | 24" | 36" |
| Volume | 4.5-5.9 BCFD (3 BCFD to Valdez) | 4.5-5.6 BCFD | 500 MMSCFD | 500 MMSCFD |
| Pressure (Max) | 2500 psi | 2500 psi | 2500 psi | 1480 psi |
| Gas (BTU/Mscf) | 1117 MMBTU | 1117 MMBTU | 1218 MMBTU | 1068 MMBTU |
| Сарех | \$32-41 Bn (\$2009) (Valdez: \$20-26 Bn) | \$35.5 Bn (\$2009) | \$7.52 Bn (\$2011) [\$7.75 Bn (\$2012)] | \$7.7 Bn (\$2012) |

ASAP



Tariff Comparison

| 2012 Tariff Comparison Original Project Plan vs. Optimized Project Plan | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | ASAP 2011 Project Plan \$/MMBtu | Optimization Update \$/MMBtu | | | |
| ¢ Louglized at Duciest Stantum (Uninflated (Constant) | ¢2011 | \$2012 | | | |
| \$ Levelized at Project Startup (Uninflated/Constant) Fairbanks | \$2011 | • | | | |
| | \$6.45 | \$4.25 to \$6.00 | | | |
| Big Lake | \$5.63 | \$5.00 to \$7.25 | | | |
| \$Levelized at Project Startup (Inflated/Nominal) | | | | | |
| Fairbanks | \$8.99 | \$4.75 to \$6.50 | | | |
| Big Lake | \$7.75 | \$4.75 to \$8.00 | | | |
| | \$7.75 | \$3.73 to \$8.00 | | | |
| Cost Drivers | Tariff Impact | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Capital cost : +/- \$1 Billion for pipeline | | | | | |
| | Fairbanks | +/- \$.50/MMBtu | | | |
| | Big Lake | +/- \$.80/MMBtu | | | |
| State of Alaska Contribution : +\$1 Billion | - \$.45/MMBtu | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Rate of return on equity (ROE): +/- 1% | +/- \$0.20/MMBtu | | | | |
| Useful life (hand length), 10 years | | - \$0.75/MMBtu | | | |
| Useful life (bond length): + 10 years - 50.75/WWBCu | | | | | |
| Cost of 1 Yr. Delay to Entire Construction Schedule | | +\$0.20/MMBtu | | | |

ASAP



ASAP Costs

- Cost to Alaskans: \$400M up-front cost to be recovered through gas royalty and taxes
- Cost Benefit: Long term natural gas supply for Alaskans
- Project Cost: \$7.7 Billion* in 2012 dollars, +/- 30%
- Cost of Gas to Consumers (burner tip)

Anchorage

Fairbanks

- Optimized \$ 9 11.25/MMBtu in 2012 dollars
- Base case \$ 9.63/MMBtu in 2011 dollars
- Optimized \$ 8.25 10/MMBtu in 2012 dollars
- Base Case \$ 10.45/MMBtu in 2011 dollars

*Each year the project is delayed, 2.5% inflation is added to the cost of the project





Funding Required to Advance

- Achieving legislative objectives to advance an in-state natural gas pipeline for Alaskans is contingent on legislative funding
- Full funding will keep project on schedule
 - $\checkmark\,$ Advance facilities and pipeline engineering
 - ✓ Regulatory permitting activities and agency engagement
 - ✓ Engineering field investigations
- Partial funding will cause schedule delays
 - ✓ Limited pipeline and facilities engineering
 - ✓ Limited field investigation

ACAP



ASAP Requires Enabling Legislation

Critical legislation components:

- Ability to enter into confidential agreements
- Contract carrier status is needed to allow AGDC to enter into long-term contracts
- Authority to determine ASAP ownership structure is key to attracting shippers/buyers; financing; and pipeline tariffs
- Enabling legislation will significantly advance meeting the purpose of the original legislation: "... deliver natural gas to as many communities as practicable along the route .."





Thank You

Alaska Gasline Development Corporation ASAP Project Office 3301 C Street, Suite 100 • Anchorage, AK 99503 Phone: (907) 330-6300 • Website: www.agdc.us

Frank Richards, P.E.

Government Affairs & Pipeline Engineering Manager

Phone: (907) 330-6352



